

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/772,242	02/06/2004	Chang-Ho Cho	2557-000190/US	6461
30593 75	10/20/2006		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			DAVIS, ROBERT B	
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	
		DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L	/
_	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,242	CHO, CHANG-HO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert B. Davis	1722
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 At</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/772,242 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue (JP 11-176855 A: figures 1-5 and abstract) taken together with Abe et al (Japanese reference 10-138294: figures 1-6 and paragraphs 2 and 6 of the partial machine translation).

Inoue discloses a mold die for encapsulating a semiconductor device comprising; a cavity block having a plurality of cavities (figure 4) in which a chip is positioned, a gate (8a) defining a resin entry into the mold cavity and having a gate width, a gate block (8) arranged for relative movement with the mold cavity to allow opening and closing of the gate (see figures 1-open and figure 3-closed), wherein the gate is arranged at a 45 degree to the sides of the mold cavity. The reference does not explicitly disclose that the chip is arranged at an angle less than 90 degrees with respect to the gate.

Abe et al discloses a chip (A) positioned in a mold cavity (12) and a gate (18) in the corner of the mold cavity such that the chip is positioned at a 45-degree angle to the gate.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Inoue by positioning the chip in the mold cavity such that the chip is at an angle of 45 degrees to the mold gate as disclosed by Abe et al because Abe et al illustrates the normal arrangement of the chip within the mold.

3. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue taken together with Abe et al and further in view of Shibata (5,750,153: figures 1-15 and column 1, lines 21-36).

The combination of Inoue and Abe et al disclose all claimed features except for the die having a channel block. The Inoue reference does disclose a plurality of pots (6) for storing and injecting resin with a ram.

Shibata disclose a mold die for packaging/encapsulating a semiconductor chip comprising: upper and lower cavity blocks (5D, 5C), a gate block (6C) and a runner or channel block (59) for distributing resin from a central source to a plurality of molding cavities.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Inoue by providing a cavity block to supply a plurality of molding cavities with resin from a common source as disclosed by Shibata because such a separate channel block allows for replacement of individual parts without replacing the entire mold die. It is further obvious to use a channel system for supplying a plurality of mold cavities with resin from a central source as disclosed by Shibata because such a configuration reduces the amount of pots and plungers and thus reduces the amount of resin tablets supplied per molding operation by reduction of the number of pots.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue taken together with Abe et al and Shin et al (6,717,248: figures 12B and 13, and column 12, lines 45-67).

The combination of Inoue and Abe et al disclose all claimed features except for the use of a L-gate having two surfaces parallel with two sides of the chip.

Shin et al disclose an apparatus for packaging a semiconductor chip (2) having a gate (G) having a L-shaped gate (H) as illustrated in figure 12B.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Shin et al by having a L-shaped gate for the purpose of matching the gate shape to the edge of the chip.

5. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue taken together with Abe et al and Shibata.

Inoue discloses a method of encapsulating a semiconductor chip using a mold having a gate block that is movable to close the gate after injecting resin into the mold cavity as described earlier in this action. Abe et al illustrates the orientation of the chip in relation to the molding cavity.

Shibata disclose a method of packaging/encapsulating a chip (3) in a molding cavity (50), wherein the chip is square and arranged such that the sides of the chip are parallel to the molding cavity surfaces see figure 1. The resin is introduced at a corner of the cavity by means of a gate (29) such that the resin approaches the sides of the chip (3) at an angle of less than 90 degrees.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Inoue by orienting the chip such that the sides of the chip are parallel to the sides of the molding cavity as disclosed by Shibata for the purpose of positioning the chip within the molding cavity such that the package resin has the same thickness on each side of the chip. It is further obvious to position the chip at a 45 degree angle with respect to the mold gate as illustrated by Abe et al, as Abe et al illustrates the normal positioning of the chip within the mold cavity.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The objection to claim 10 has been overcome.

Also, the 112 rejections of claims 8 and 9 have been withdrawn.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining references illustrate the state of the art of packaging molds for semiconductor chips.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,242 Page 6

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert B. Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1722

10/10/04